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Overview

- Only modest growth expected: 2-2.5 percent for 2012
  - Assumes Europe is able to “muddle through”
  - Assumes fiscal compromise that avoids a “fiscal cliff” (expiration of Bush tax cut, payroll tax cut, AMT revisions, unemployment benefits, along with sequestration)
- Implies little improvement in labor markets
Figure 1
Growth in Real GDP Components: Consumption and Residential Investment
2011:Q2 - 2012:Q1
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Figure 2
Growth in Real House Prices by Census Region*

1984:Q1 - 2012:Q1

Percent Change from Year Earlier

* The 9 census regions are: New England (NE), Middle Atlantic (MA), East North Central (ENC), West North Central (WNC), South Atlantic (SA), East South Central (ESC), West South Central (WSC), Mountain (M) and Pacific (P).
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Figure 3
Growth in Real GDP Components: Government Spending and Business Investment
2011:Q2 - 2012:Q1

Source: Bureau of Economic Analysis / Haver Analytics
Figure 4
Estimates of Real GDP Growth
2010:Q1 - 2012:Q1
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Figure 5  
Economic Projections of FOMC Participants and the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, April 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>FOMC Central Tendency (Percent)</th>
<th>Federal Reserve Bank of Boston (Percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unemployment Rate (2012:Q4)</td>
<td>7.8 to 8.0</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real GDP Growth (2011:Q4 – 2012:Q4)</td>
<td>2.4 to 2.9</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCE Inflation (2011:Q4 – 2012:Q4)</td>
<td>1.9 to 2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core PCE Inflation (2011:Q4 – 2012:Q4)</td>
<td>1.8 to 2.0</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The central tendency excludes the three highest and three lowest projections for each variable.  
Source: Federal Reserve Board, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston
Summary

- Downside risks restrain current growth
- Monetary policy should remain accommodative to promote faster growth and lower unemployment
- Further accommodation is both appropriate and necessary
Can Policy Address High Unemployment?

- Some structural unemployment – mismatch in skills occurs during most recessions/recoveries
- Cyclical factors still the most important source of high unemployment
- Want to avoid a cyclical problem becoming a structural problem
Figure 6
Employment Growth by Census Region*

1980:Q1 - 2012:Q1

Percent Change from Year Earlier

* The 9 census regions are: New England (NE), Middle Atlantic (MA), East North Central (ENC), West North Central (WNC), South Atlantic (SA), East South Central (ESC), West South Central (WSC), Mountain (M) and Pacific (P).
Figure 7
Unemployment Rate by Census Region

December 2007 and April 2012
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Figure 8
Employment Change from Peak Employment
Most Recent and Three Previous Peaks
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Figure 9
Employment Change from Peak Employment: Total and Total Excluding Construction and Government

Most Recent Peak

Note: Both series use peak and trough of total employment series.

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics / Haver Analytics
Figure 10
Employment Growth by Industry
1980:Q1 - 2012:Q1

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics / Haver Analytics
Figure 11
Employment Cost Index for Wages and Salaries by Industry
2002:Q1 - 2012:Q1

Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics / Haver Analytics
Conclusion

- Unemployment is uncomfortably high and PCE inflation is likely to be below 2 percent
- Significant downside risks
- Monetary policy should be more stimulative to address inadequate demand
- Should downside risks materialize, more aggressive policy certainly warranted